



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/624,029	07/21/2003	Kyekyoon Kim	09800240-0067	09800240-0067 5813	
43320	7590 08/30/2005		EXAMINER		
EVAN LAW GROUP LLC 566 WEST ADAMS, SUITE 350 CHICAGO, IL 60661			GEORGE, KONATA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1616	 	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/624,029	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Konata M. George	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	ay 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 63,67-84 and 92-102 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 94-100 and 102 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 63,75 and 77 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 67-76, 78-84 and 101 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 63, 67-84 and 92-102 are pending in this application.

Action Summary

- 1. Examiner acknowledges the cancellation of claims 64-66 and 85-91.
- 2. The rejection of 63, 67-84, 92 and 93 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is hereby withdrawn as applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer.
- 3. The rejection of claims 63, 71, 75 and 84 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berry is hereby withdrawn as applicant has amended the claim to overcome the prior art.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 84 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 84 describes accelerating the first stream and vibrating the first stream which is also claimed in claim 63.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 77 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 77 recites the limitation "wherein the nozzle has a diameter at least the average diameter of the particles" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 77 depends from claim 76, it appears to examiner that claim 77 should depend on upon claim 75. If this is so please make necessary corrections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 63 and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peschka et al. (US 5,445,666).

Art Unit: 1616

Peschka et al. describes a method for producing small metal balls by vibrating a continuous stream of liquid metal with compressional vibrations and cooling the particles (abstract).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 67-83 and 101 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach the particles comprising a pharmaceutical composition.
- 8. Claims 94-100 and 102 are allowed. The prior art does not disclose a method of forming particles comprising accelerating and vibrating a first stream to form particles; and contacting the first stream with a second stream.

Conclusion

9. Claims 63, 75 and 77 are rejected.

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0613. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 6:30PM Monday to Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,029 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (571) 272-0887. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Konata M. George

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECKNOLOGY CENTER 1600